IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

DUANE MOORE,

٧.

Petitioner,

Civil Action No. 2:10cv118

JOEL ZIEGLER, Warden,

Respondent.

ORDER

On March 16, 2011, Magistrate Judge James E. Seibert filed his Report and Recommendation ("R&R")(Doc. 23), wherein the parties were directed, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), to file with the Clerk of Court any written objections within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy of the R&R. No objections to the R&R have been filed. Accordingly, this Court will proceed with consideration of the R&R reviewing for clear error.¹

Upon examination of the report from the Magistrate Judge, it appears to this Court that the issues raised by the Petitioner's Application for Habeas Corpus, filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241, were thoroughly considered by Magistrate Judge Seibert in his R&R. As found by the Magistrate Judge, a petition for a writ of habeas corpus is not the proper vehicle for the claims made by petitioner. This Court, upon a review for clear error, is of the opinion that the R&R accurately reflects the law applicable to this case. Therefore, it is

¹The failure of a party to object to a R&R waives the party's right to appeal from a judgment of this Court based thereon and, additionally, relieves the Court of any obligation to conduct a *de novo* review of the issues presented. See *Wells v. Shriners Hospital*, 109 F.3d 198, 199-200 (4th Cir. 1997); *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 148-153 (1985).

ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Seibert's R&R (Doc. 23) be, and the same hereby

is, **ADOPTED**. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the respondent's Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative for

Summary Judgment (Doc. 19) shall be, and the same hereby is, **GRANTED**. It is further

ORDERED that the petitioner's Application Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 for Writ of

Habeas Corpus (Doc. 1) shall be, and the same hereby is DISMISSED WITHOUT

PREJUDICE to his right to file a civil rights action, should he so desire. It is further

ORDERED that the Clerk shall enter judgment for the respondent. It is further

ORDERED that the above-styled action shall be STRICKEN from the docket of this

Court. It is further

ORDERED that, if a party should desire to appeal the decision of this Court, written

notice of appeal must be received by the Clerk of this Court within thirty (30) days from the

date of the entry of the Judgment Order, pursuant to Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of

Appellate Procedure. The \$5.00 filing fee for the notice of appeal and the \$450.00

docketing fee should also be submitted with the notice of appeal. In the alternative, at the

time the notice of appeal is submitted, the petitioner may, in accordance with the provisions

of Rule 24(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, seek leave to proceed in forma

pauperis from the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit.

The Clerk of Court is directed to transmit a copy of this Order to all parties appearing

herein.

DATED: April 5, 2011.

JNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2